



Creating a nest for lived experience to
inform teaching and learning:
Reflections on the first 6 months

Katie Owen (Lecturer BN Programme)
Tane Rangihuna (Service user in Academia)
Wendy Trimmer (Programme Manager)

Introductions



Ko te manu e kai ana i te miro, nōna te ngahere. Engari, ko te manu e kai ana i te mātauranga, nōna te ao.

This presentation will ...



Reflect on the process of developing the model for co-production using appreciative inquiry.



Explore what is it that is most valuable about co-production – how is it working well?



Explore what is the vision of co-production for health education - what does it look like?

Background



A model of co-production and design, which sees consumer input in all levels of curriculum development, content delivery and research, is seen as the most effective model in education settings (Happell et al., 2015).



In 2017 a Memorandum of Understanding was signed between Kites and Whitireia, this has led to the development of a new initiative embedding a Service User Academic in teaching and learning across the School of Health.

Whitireia NZ

Te Kura Hauora School of
Health:

Providing professional
education to prepare
graduates for the global
environment of health and
social care

Kites Trust

A Wellington based
organization which promotes
consumer leadership by
people with mental distress
and/or addiction issue, to
engage in co-production.

Service User Academic Role



What is it that is most valuable about co-production – how is it working well?



Building capacity, increasing interest in staff



Power of role/ equal status. Power of Contact



Culture and attitude change in the classroom and with tutors



Introducing mental health to all programmes



Developing more strategic approach than ad hoc involvement

What is it that is most valuable about co-production – how is it working well?



Affirmed beliefs and concerns with modes of delivery



Valuing the contribution the partnership brings



Changing culture, stigma and language



Awareness that 'old habits die hard', addressing tokenism



Availability/accessibility of the role contributes to change

The research - Appreciative Inquiry



Appreciative inquiry creates a vision and focuses on strengths, resources and what works well.







Utilising this approach is an alternative stance to problem based inquiry; this approach will create impetus to enable change to occur.



Appreciative inquiry is a mode of transformative action research and it is the recommended method of choice when people want to understand, critique and improve their situation.

Four D Cycle

Appreciative inquiry most commonly utilises a four D cycle:

-  Discovery, appreciating what gives life,
-  Dreaming, envisioning what might be,
-  Designing, co-constructing what will be, and
-  Destiny, planning what will be (Preskill & Coghlan, 2003; Reed, 2007).

Appreciative inquiry is a “participative, collaborative, and systemic approach to inquiry that seeks what is right in an organisation in order to create a desired future” (Preskill & Coghlan, 2003, P.56)

Building the Nest



What is the vision of co-production for mental health education? What does it look like?



Reducing stigma and discrimination



Better partnerships with organisations



Building a framework for co-production

Questions?



References



Happell, B., Platania-Phung, C., Byrne, L., Wynaden, D., Martin, G., & Harris, S. (2015). Consumer participation in nurse education: A national survey of Australian universities. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, doi: 10.1111/inm.12111



Preskill, H., & Coghlan, A. (2003). *Using appreciative inquiry in evaluation*. San Francisco, USA: Wiley



Reed, J. (2007). *Appreciative inquiry: Research for change*. California: Sage Publications